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Introduction: Cell populations of the tumor microenvironment have been implicated for prognostic relevance in follicular lymphoma (FL). The results of immunohistochemistry (IHC) based studies are contradictory, possibly due to differences in treatment protocols. In addition, the reproducibility of scoring cell densities and infiltration patterns has not been studied thus far and can be expected to be of major impact. A validation study was performed to 1) estimate the variation and reproducibility of manual scoring, 2) determine if a systematic difference between manual and computer-assisted scoring exists, 3) evaluate if computer-assisted scoring is more reliable assuming that flow cytometry (FACS) is the gold standard.

Materials and methods: TMA from 25 FL and 2 tonsils with FFPE material and FACS data for CD3, CD4 and CD8 IHC for MBL, CD10, CD3, CD4, CD8, FoxP3, CD68, CD21 and CD34 were scored according to strictly set guidelines to evaluate cellular densities and patterns by 7 pathologists and by 2 independent image analysis (Ariol SL). Distribution of markers was analyzed to evaluate scoring effects using agreement (kappa, concordance coefficient), analysis of variance (scoring laboratory effect) and rank analysis. Correlation was evaluated for manual scores between labs and for correlations with image analysis and flow.

Results: Agreement among labs for manual scoring was consistently low (kappa 0.25-0.19). Image analysis versus manual scoring showed a significant correlation for all markers. However, manual scoring consistently underestimated in lower and overestimated in higher scoring ranges, therefore overestimating the variation. Comparison with flow data for CD3, CD4 and CD8 underlined this. Image analysis showed a far better correlation with FACS data with moderate correlation levels (concordance estimates 0.5-0.9) with consistently higher values by image analysis that could potentially be corrected by calibration.

Conclusions: Manual scoring of cell densities in the tumor microenvironment is poorly reproducible and systematically overestimates the variation. This may in part explain the contradictory results of studies on the prognostic impact of the tumor microenvironment in FL. Computer-assisted scoring may resolve these problems to a considerable extent and may be a better choice for future studies.
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Background: Gene expression profiling (GEP) has divided diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) into molecular subgroups that originate from different stages of lymphocyte development, namely germinal center B-cell-like (GCB), and activated B-cell-like. This classification has prognostic significance, but GEP is expensive and not applicable in daily practice. Immunohistochemical algorithms have been proposed as a surrogate for GEP analysis.

Methods: We assembled tissue microarrays (TMA) of 475 patients with de novo DLBCL who were uniformly treated with rituximab-CHOP. All cases were successfully stained for the antibodies we selected for each of the 5 molecular subgroups (C210, FOXP3, MUM1, and BCL6) and classified in this order according to a rationale of subsequent steps of differentiation of malignant B-cells. Cut-offs for each marker were obtained using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, which obviated the need to use any arbitrary method.

Results: An algorithm based on the expression of three markers, CD10, FOXP3, and BCL6, was developed, that had 92.6% concordance with GEP. The predictivity of this algorithm compared favorably with the Hans’ algorithm (87.3%), and the more recent Hans2 (86.3%), Choi (90.2%), Cho1 (81.2%), and Tally algorithms (90.5%, without LMO3 analyses). The algorithm we developed has a simpler structure compared with other recently proposed algorithms, favoring its use in daily practice. According to our algorithm both overall survival and progression-free survival were significantly longer for the GCB group compared with the non-GCB group. In multivariate analysis, both the International Prognostic Index and the algorithm we propose were significant independent predictors of survival.

Conclusions: Our new algorithm can predict prognosis of DLBCL patients according to GEP subgroups in the era of rituximab therapy.
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Introduction/Background: Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL) is a heterogeneous disease which can arise in virtually any organ or anatomical site. Based on genetic profiling studies DLBCLs are divided into GCB and non-GCB types, with GCB showing a more favorable prognosis. Some DLBCL subtypes arise in extranodal sites such as those arising in the testis have a particularly aggressive clinical course with a tendency to spread to the central nervous system. This could partly be due to the finding that all testis DLBCLs are of the non-GCB subtype, but additional patterns in gene expression may explain the different biological behavior in this subset of DLBCL and suggest a more targeted treatment approach. The aim of this study was to find out if testicular DLBCLs show a different gene expression pattern from other non-GCB tumors.

Materials and methods: 78 de novo DLBCLs classified as non-GCB using the Hans algorithm were included in this study. RNA from all tumors had been purified from formalin fixed, paraffin embedded diagnostic biopsies from assumed primary site of presentation and categorized as either primarily non-testis (n=68) or testis (n=8). Two tumors could not be categorized and were excluded. The expressions of 29 potentially prognostic genes and three normalizer genes (GUSB, TBP, ABL) were studied by qRT-PCR using Taqman Arrays®. Results were analyzed for differential gene expressions using dedicated qRT-PCR software (RealTime StatMiner®). A fold change ≥1.5 in gene expression was considered a “real” difference. P-values ≤0.05 were considered significant.

Results: Relative to non-GCB tumors as a whole, DLBCLs arising in the testis showed a 3.1 fold overexpression of CyclinD2 (P=0.02) and a 2.9 fold overexpression of TLE1 (P=0.05). B4GALT7 was 1.8 fold overexpressed (P=0.03). Relative to testis lymphomas, other non-GCB tumors were found to overexpress COL3A1 (3.4 fold, P<0.01), FN1 (3.1 fold, P=0.02), PLAU (2.5 fold, P<0.05), and SOD2 (2.1 fold, P=0.02).

Conclusions: Within the non-GCB subset of DLBCL we found distinct differences in gene expression. The testis lymphomas were characterized by significant overexpression of genes that are markers of poor prognosis as well as low expression of good prognosis genes. These findings are in line with clinical observations and highlight the fact that even within the GCB/non-GCB subtypes of DLBCL there are marked differences in gene expression that could justify specific treatment approaches.
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1Hematology, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain, 2Hematology, Hospital Durán i Reynals, were stained with antibodies to cyclinD1, GCB, FOXP3, MUM1, and BCL6 and classified in this order according to a rationale of subsequent steps of differentiation of malignant B-cells. Cut-offs for each marker were obtained using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, which obviated the need to use any arbitrary method.

Results: An algorithm based on the expression of three markers, CD10, FOXP3, and BCL6, was developed, that had 92.6% concordance with GEP. The predictivity of this algorithm compared favorably with the Hans’ algorithm (87.3%), and the more recent Hans2 (86.3%), Choi (90.2%), Cho1 (81.2%), and Tally algorithms (90.5%, without LMO3 analyses). The algorithm we developed has a simpler structure compared with other recently proposed algorithms, favoring its use in daily practice. According to our algorithm both overall survival and progression-free survival were significantly longer for the GCB group compared with the non-GCB group. In multivariate analysis, both the International Prognostic Index and the algorithm we propose were significant independent predictors of survival.

Conclusions: Our new algorithm can predict prognosis of DLBCL patients according to GEP subgroups in the era of rituximab therapy.
Background: In patients with DLBCL, GEP distinguish two groups with different origin: germinal-center (GC) and activated (ABC). However, GEP is a technique not available in current clinical practice. For this reason, attempts to reproduce GEP data by immunohistochemical algorithms (IHCA) have been made. The aim of this study was to apply different IHCA in a series of patients with DLBCL treated with R-CHOP to assess the correlation with GEP and their usefulness to predict response and outcome.

Material and Methods: We constructed tissue microarrays in 157 patients (80M/77F; median age 65 years) with DLBCL of the GELCA treated with R-CHOP. We applied the most popular algorithms using CD10, bcl6, GCT1, LMO2, MUM1, FOXP1 and bcl2: Colomo (Blood 2003, 101); Hans (Blood 2004, 103); Muri (J Pathol 2006, 208); Choi (Clin Cancer Res 2009, 15), and Tally (Meyer., JCO 2010). GEP studies were performed in 62 patients.

Results: The distribution of the cases according to the phenotype was similar for Colomo and Hans. In Choi and Tally the proportion of non-GC cases assigned was significantly higher (non-GC vs. GC, 67% vs. 35% and 63% vs. 37%, respectively). In Muri, the majority of the cases were allocated as GC phenotype (GC vs. non-GC, 57% vs. 43%). In 80 of 110 patients (72%) were allocated in the same group (either GC or non-GC). When the immunocomparison was made with GEP data, the sensitivity in the GC group was 59%, 52%, 79%, 40% and 53% for Colomo, Hans, Muri, Choi and Tally, respectively. The sensitivity in the non-GC group was 81%, 85%, 62%, 84% and 80%, respectively. We observed a higher percentage of misclassified cases in the GC subset than in the non-GC. Differentiation profile as assessed by GEP (GC vs. ABC) showed a significant prognostic value for OS in the subset of patients with this GEP information, with a 5-year OS of 80% vs. 45%, respectively (P=0.03). By contrast, none of the profiles assessed by immunostaining were capable to predict OS.

Conclusions: In a homogeneous series of DLBCL, patients treated with R-CHOP, the IHCA algorithms (GC vs. non-GC) were not able to mimic the GEP information.
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Background: Concurrent chromosomal translocations involving the BCL2 and MYC proto-oncogenes, so-called double-hit, is found both in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and in a newly defined B-cell lymphoma category with features overlapping between DLBCL and Burkitt lymphoma (BCLU, 2008 WHO classification). Few studies have been published on double-hit lymphomas, and to our knowledge only retrospective series, reporting an average frequency of 5%. In addition to unanimously reported highly aggressive clinical behavior; resistance to chemotherapy and poor outcome, double-hit lymphomas had varying morphology and mostly high proliferation rates. We conducted a prospective study of DLBCL/BCLU with the purpose of evaluating the frequency of double hit BCL2/MYC translocations in DLBCL/BCLU and secondly if any pathological and/or clinical features correlated with the presence of double-hit.

Materials and methods: All patients diagnosed with DLBCL or BCLU at the Haematopathology Section and treated at Dept. of Hematology at Copenhagen University Hospital Herlev, were prospectively collected throughout 2009+2010. Tumors were classified according to morphological (2008 WHO) and immunohistochemistry (CD10, BCL2, MUM1, BCL2, Ki-67) indices. The sensitivity in the non-GC group was 81%, 85%, 62%, 84% and 80%, respectively. We observed a higher percentage of misclassified cases in the GC subset than in the non-GC. Differentiation profile as assessed by GEP (GC vs. ABC) showed a significant prognostic value for OS in the subset of patients with this GEP information, with a 5-year OS of 80% vs. 45%, respectively (P=0.03). By contrast, none of the profiles assessed by immunostaining were capable to predict OS.

Results: Double-hit BCLU/MYC translocations were detected in 17 of 165 cases (10%). All double-hit DLBCL were GCB immunophenotype with varying morphology and mostly high proliferation rates. We identified a score=1 but CLL diagnosis proven on LN biopsy, small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL) (n=60) as defined by WHO classification, and CLPD unclassifiable (Matutes score<3, only a case with biopsy (n=1)). Interestingly, the last case was identified as marginal zone lymphoma (MZL) on biopsy material. In our whole series of CLL/SLL, IGH/BCL2 rearrangement was observed with the same occurrence that was previously reported (3%). Among this cohort of CLL/SLL with IGH/BCL2 translocation, SLL appears particularly frequent (25%). Trisomy 12 was much more frequent than in conventional CLL series (59% vs 14-21%)(P<0.05), whereas STAT3 was over-expressed in DLBCL. A computational algorithm proved the combined expression of CSE1L and STAT3 to be superior in predicting the diagnosis of BL and DLBCL when compared to the diagnostic markers used so far in the routine. Moreover, the combination of CSE1L and STAT3 expression as well as MYC translocation was able to identify patients, initially classified as intermediate between BL/DLBCL, who profited from intensive, BL-like regimens. The results were validated on 14 new aggressive B-LAs.

Conclusions: We present a new immunohistochemical diagnostic algorithm easily applicable in the routine diagnostic of aggressive BCLs. This algorithm, in combination with FISH analysis for MYC, has positive predictive value for selection of intensive chemotherapy regimens. These data need confirmation in prospective and larger studies.
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The t(14;18)(q32;q21) involving the immunoglobulin heavy chain locus (IGH) and the BCL2 gene, known as the genetic marker of follicular lymphoma (FL) has been reported incidentally in other B-cell lymphomas (CLPD). We report on our series of CLPD (other than FL) and the occurrence of the t(14;18) and its variants, and the clinical implications of the occurrence of the t(14;18) in a subgroup of our patients.

We found that the t(14;18) was not a common occurrence (33% vs 36-45%). Almost all CLL (95% of the cases) with t(14;18) expressed CD38 (cut-off 0.05), whereas STAT3 was over-expressed in DLBCL. A computational algorithm proved the combined expression of CSE1L and STAT3 to be superior in predicting the diagnosis of BL and DLBCL when compared to the diagnostic markers used so far in the routine. Moreover, the combination of CSE1L and STAT3 expression as well as MYC translocation was able to identify patients, initially classified as intermediate between BL/DLBCL, who profited from intensive, BL-like regimens. The results were validated on 14 new aggressive B-LAs.

Conclusions: We present a new immunohistochemical diagnostic algorithm easily applicable in the routine diagnostic of aggressive BCLs. This algorithm, in combination with FISH analysis for MYC, has positive predictive value for selection of intensive chemotherapy regimens. These data need confirmation in prospective and larger studies.
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Background: A correct histological diagnosis of lymphoma is of crucial importance. In particular, in the case of aggressive lymphomas, the separation between BL and DLBCL is relevant for the treatment approach, and of prognostic impact. However, the diagnostic reproducibility of these aggressive B-cell lymphomas (BCLs) is poor and there is increased recognition of DLBCLs, which share features with BL but deviate with respect to one or more findings, currently classified in the provisional intermediate group DLBCL/BL in the last WHO classification.

Materials and Methods: A tissue micro-array (TMA) was established from more than 200 DLBCLs, BLs and DLBCL/BL obtained from three Swiss Institutes of Pathology. These were cytogenetically defined (BCL2, BCL6, MYC translocations) and immunohistochemically analyzed for differential expression of new selected markers, based on recent transcriptional and gene-expression profile studies. Computational analysis of protein expression and FISH data led to predictive models for diagnosis (DLBCL and BL), trained as binary decision trees (RPART), OS and PFS were statistically analyzed, and different treatment protocols were taken into consideration.

Results: Expression of CSE1L and ID3 were associated with the diagnosis of BL (p <0.05), whereas STAT3 was over-expressed in DLBCL. A computational algorithm proved the combined expression of CSE1L and STAT3 to be superior in predicting the diagnosis of BL and DLBCL when compared to the diagnostic markers used so far in the routine. Moreover, the combination of CSE1L and STAT3 expression as well as MYC translocation was able to identify patients, initially classified as intermediate between BL/DLBCL, who profited from intensive, BL-like regimens. The results were validated on 14 new aggressive B-LAs.

Conclusions: We present a new immunohistochemical diagnostic algorithm easily applicable in the routine diagnostic of aggressive BCLs. This algorithm, in combination with FISH analysis for MYC, has positive predictive value for selection of intensive chemotherapy regimens. These data need confirmation in prospective and larger studies.

---

Primary cutaneous B-cell follicle center lymphomas (PCFCL) are good prognostic diseases. However, skin relapse or extra-cutaneous spreading occurs in 40% and 10% of cases respectively. The hallmark of nodal FL is the t(14;18)(q32;q21) translocation involving BCL2 and BCL2-IGH fusion. We observed by FISH the absence of BCL2-IGH fusion in PCFCL cases with positive BCL2-IGH detection showing that most cells do not carry the t(14;18). Alternatively, other observed BCL2-IGH fusion by FISH in 41% of PCFCL without BCL2-IGH breakpoint in PCR amplification. Our main goal was to study the prevalence and prognostic impact of t(14;18) in a series of PCFCL selected according to international diagnostic and staging criteria.

53 patients with PCFCL without history of nodal FL were selected. Staging procedures identified 6 secondary cutaneous FCL (SCFCL). FISH test for BCL2 split was performed on skin sections biopsies. BCL2-JH amplification was conducted using the BIOMED2 protocol on FISH positive cases. Proportions were compared with Fisher exact test, survey curves (Kaplan Meier method) with Log Rank test.

FISH detected t(14;18) in 7 cases: 4/47 PCFCL, 3/6 SCFCL. The t(14;18) was associated with positivity of staging procedures with node and/or bone marrow involvement (p=0,02). PCR amplification was less sensitive, with only 4 out 6 positive FISH cases.

Complete remission was obtained in 44 PCFCL. Skin relapse was observed in 41% of cases after a 36.8 median follow-up. The presence of small cells was associated with higher probability of skin relapse (p=0,01), contrary to other factors studied (age, T stage, first therapy, monochromosomal rearrangement of IGH in skin, monochromosomal rearrangement of IGH in skin and blood, presence of t(14;18)). Two patients with PCFCL (t(14;18) positive) on initial sample had a secondary extracutaneous involvement after 29 and 55 months of follow-up.

The t(14;18) is rare in true PCFCL as it was significantly associated with positivity of initial staging and SCFCL diagnosis. t(14;18) detection would lead to perform an exhaustive staging including bone-marrow biopsy. While t(14;18) present in only 4 PCFCL was not associated with skin recurrences, it was associated with an higher risk of extracutaneous spreading. Therefore, detection of t(14;18) by FISH in PCFCL would lead to a closer monitoring of such patients.

CONCLUSION: In conclusion, this study highlights a peculiar pattern of gene rearrangements detected by FISH analysis in primary testicular DLBCL compared with primary nodal DLBCL.
lesions subsidizing BCR activation by antigen. Screening of BCR pathway genes (CD79A, CD79B, CARD11) revealed the acquisition of a mutation within the coiled-coil domain of CARD11 in 1/4 (25.0%) RS that switched off ID at transformation.

**Conclusions:** These data indicate that: i) the RS clone stems from a cell that is already present in the context of the initial CLL clone and gains selective advantage over the CLL subclones; ii) most RS have become independent of antigen stimulation for their sustainment.